



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,511	04/01/2004	Doree Duncan Seligmann	630-067US	8627
47912	7590	04/21/2008		
DEMONT & BREYER, LLC 100 COMMONS WAY, STE 250 HOLMDEL, NJ 07733			EXAMINER KAMPURIA, SHARAD K	
			ART UNIT 2617	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 04/21/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Office Action Summary****Application No.**

10/816,511

**Applicant(s)**

SELIGMANN, DOREE DUNCAN

**Examiner**

Sharad Rampuria

**Art Unit**

2617

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 January 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 6, 8, 9, 14-22, 36-44 and 64-75 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 6, 8, 9, 14-22, 36-44 and 64-75 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Disposition of the claims*

- I. The current office-action is in response to the Amendment filed on 01/11/2008.
- Accordingly, Claims 4-5, 7, 10-13, 23-35, 45-63 are cancelled, thus, Claims 1-3, 6, 8-9, 14-22, 36-44, and 64-75 are imminent for further assessment as follows:

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

- II. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 6, 8-9, 14-22, 36-44, and 64-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by **Phillips; W. Garland** [US 6748195 B1].

As per claims 1, 64, 71, **Phillips** teaches:

A method (Abstract) comprising:

Receiving at a mobile telecommunications terminal a command that reads the value of a datum, (e.g. receiving at a mobile telecommunications terminal a command; Col.7; 18-30) and

Determining whether to execute said command based on said geo-location of said mobile telecommunications terminal and on said value. (e.g. determining whether to execute said command; Col.6; 49-67).

As per claims 2, 15, 37, 18, 40, 72, Phillips teaches:

The method of claims 1, wherein the determination whether to execute said command is also based on the identity of the user of said mobile telecommunications terminal. (e.g. a device's identity; Col.2; 61-63)

As per claims 3, 16, 38, 66, 70, 73, Phillips teaches:

The method of claims 1, wherein the determination whether to execute said command also based on the calendrical time at said mobile telecommunications terminal. (e.g. the time; Col.4; 15-25)

As per claims 6, 68, 75, Phillips teaches the method of claims 1, wherein said perimeter is based on an argument of said command. (e.g. the processing data based on command; Col.6; 11-42)

As per claims 8, 17, 20, 39, 41-42, 69, Phillips teaches:

The method of claims 1, 48, 58, wherein said command comprises reading a value associated with a descriptor, and wherein said perimeter is based on the geo-location at which said value is stored. (e.g. within certain range; Col.6; 49-67)

As per claims 9, 19, Phillips teaches:

The method of claims 1, wherein said command comprises reading a value associated with a descriptor, and wherein said perimeter is based on said descriptor. (e.g. within certain range; Col.7; 18-30)

As per claims 14, 36, **Phillips** teaches:

A method (Abstract) comprising:

Receiving at a mobile telecommunications terminal a request to access content, (e.g. Col.7; 18-30) and

Determining a version of said content to access based on the geo-location of said mobile telecommunications terminal. (e.g. Col.6; 49-67)

As per claim 21, 43, Phillips teaches:

The method of claims 14, 36, wherein a first version of said content is associated with a first medium, and wherein a second version of said content is associated with a second medium. (e.g. Col.6; 49-67)

As per claims 22, 44, Phillips teaches:

The method of claims 14, 36, wherein a first version of said content is associated with a first authorization category, and wherein a second version of said content is associated with a second authorization category. (e.g. Col.6; 49-67)

As per claim 67, Phillips teaches the method of claims 64, wherein said perimeter is based on the nature of said command. (e.g. based on the command; Col.6; 49-67)

***Response to Amendments & Remarks***

III. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 6, 8-9, 14-22, 36-44, and 64-75 has been fully considered but is moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

IV. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-7870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. (8:30-5 EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (571) 272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000 or

[EBC@uspto.gov](mailto:EBC@uspto.gov).

/Sharad Rampuria/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2617